

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/752,564 | 01/03/2001 | Hirofumi Sakaue | 32405W061 | 9797 |
| 7590 01/30/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP | | | STRIMBU, GREGORY J | |
| Beveridge, DeGrandi, Weilacher & Young Interllectual Property Group | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1850 M Street, N.W. (Suite 800) | | | 3634 | |
| Washington, D | C 20036 | | DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | A cant(s) | 1//- | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | SAKAUE ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | 09/752,564 | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Gregory J. Strimbu | 3634 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR | CE THIS APPLICATION IN Converse avoid abandonment of this and (1) a timely filed amendment | ONDITION FOR ALLOW application. A proper rep twhich places the applic timely filed Request for | VANCE. ly to a ation in | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLy CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The expiration of the period of | his Advisory Action, or (2) the date so ire later than SIX MONTHS from the VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under and of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for Office later than three months after the | e mailing date of the final rejects OF THE FINAL REJECTION 737 CFR 1.136(a) and the apping amount of the fee. The apping reply originally set in the final | tion See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension I Office action; or | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR) | | - | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | d because: | | | |
| (a) \(\times \) they raise new issues that would require ful | rther consideration and/or sea | arch (see NOTE below); | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not | e below); | | | |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | n in better form for appeal by | materially reducing or s | implifying the | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand | celing a corresponding number | er of finally rejected clain | ns. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej | ection(s): | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | uld be allowable if submitted i | in a separate, timely filed | l amendment | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because: | | considered but does NC | OT place the | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOL | ELY to issues which we | re newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | | | and an | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | vs: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 17</u> . | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-16</u> . | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on | is a) approved or b) □ o | disapproved by the Exam | niner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten | ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No | o(s) | | |
| 10. Other: | -/ 1 | GREGORY J. STRIMBU | | |
| | _ | PRIMARY EXAMINER | | |
| | | 011 | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 17

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/752,564



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed recitation "motion" on line 2 of claim 11 creates new 35 USC 11/2 informalities and does not place the case into a condition for allowance. The applicant's request that the finality of the previous Office be removed has been considered and denied because the alleged "new" 35 USC 112 rejections were merely more examples of same 35 USC 112 rejections made in the previous Office action.